	Case 1:24-cv-01035-KES-SKO Docu	ment 12	Filed 01/21/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	AARON JAMES BLAKE,	No.	. 1:24-cv-01035-K	ES-SKO (HC)
12	Petitioner,		DER ADOPTING	
13			COMMENDATIO SPONDENT'S MO	OTION TO DISMISS,
14	v.	HA		ION FOR WRIT OF AND DIRECTING TO ENTER JUDGMENT
15			ERK OF COURT ' ID CLOSE CASE	IO ENTER JUDGMENT
16	WARDEN, FCI-MENDOTA,			
17	Respondent.			
18	respondent.			
19				
20	Petitioner Aaron James Blake is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma			
21	pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. This matter was			
22	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule			
23	302.			
24	On December 13, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and			
25	recommendations to grant respondent's motion to dismiss for mootness. Docs. 9, 11. Those			
26	findings and recommendations were served upon all parties and contained notice that any			
27	objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days after service. No objections have			
28	been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.			
		1		

## Case 1:24-cv-01035-KES-SKO Document 12 Filed 01/21/25 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

In the event a notice of appeal is filed, a certificate of appealability will not be required because this is an order denying a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, not a final order in a habeas proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court. *Forde v. U.S. Parole Commission*, 114 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 1997); *see Ojo v. INS*, 106 F.3d 680, 681-682 (5th Cir. 1997); *Bradshaw v. Story*, 86 F.3d 164, 166 (10th Cir. 1996).

## Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 13, 2024, Doc. 11, are adopted in full;
- 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss, Doc. 9, is granted;
- 3. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed with prejudice;
- 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment and close the case; and
- 5. In the event a notice of appeal is filed, no certificate of appealability is required.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2025

HNITED STATES DISTRICT HDGE